BEFORE THE ARKANSAS SUPREMENCIOUNZIS P 4: 05

LESLIE W. STEEN, CLERK

BOYD BRYANT, ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED

APPELLEE

v.

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, D/B/A CHEVROLET, GMC, CADILLAC, BUICK AND OLDSMOBILE,

APPELLANTS

On Appeal From The Circuit Court of Miller County

Honorable Jim Hudson, Presiding Judge

Motion Of The Chamber Of Commerce Of The United States Of America For Permission to File Brief As *Amicus Curiae* In Support Of Appellants

Submitted by:

Of Counsel: Robin S. Conrad NATIONAL CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER, INC. 1615 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20062 (202) 463-5337

David M. Donovan (81184) WATTS, DONOVAN & TILLEY, P.A. 200 South Commerce Street Suite 200 Little Rock, AR 72201-1769 (501) 372-1406

John H. Beisner Jessica Davidson Miller Shannon M. Pazur O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1625 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 383-5300

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT

BOYD BRYANT, ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED

APPELLEE

v.

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, D/B/A CHEVROLET, GMC, CADILLAC, BUICK AND OLDSMOBILE,

APPELLANTS

On Appeal From The Circuit Court of Miller County

Honorable Jim Hudson, Presiding Judge

Motion Of The Chamber Of Commerce Of The United States Of America For Permission to File Brief As *Amicus Curiae* In Support Of Appellants

Of Counsel:

Robin S. Conrad NATIONAL CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER, INC. 1615 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20062 (202) 463-5337

John H. Beisner Jessica Davidson Miller Shannon M. Pazur O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1625 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 383-5300 Submitted by:

David M. Donovan (81184)
WATTS, DONOVAN & TILLEY, P.A.
200 South Commerce Street
Suite 200
Little Rock, AR 72201-1769
(501) 372-1406

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

The Cusmber of Commerce of the United States of America ("the Chamber") hereby

100 Access of Figure 10 Arkansas Rule of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals for the State of

Advances 4-0(a), for leave to file the enclosed brief as amicus curiae in support of Appellants. In

Support of this motion, the Chamber states the following facts:

The Chamber is the world's largest business federation, with an underlying recent correction of more than three million companies and professional organizations of every size, in every inducary sector, and from every region of the country. The Chamber is well positioned to assist the Court in evaluating the parties' arguments because the Chamber regularly advances the country and the members in courts throughout the country on issues of critical concern to the second and the cases include a micus curiae in numerous cases addressing class and the cases include Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591 (1997), State for the case include Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591 (1997), State for the cases include Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591 (1997), State for the case of th

Circuit Court's January 11, 2007 Order in this action holds that class action action and action and applications and all plaintiffs nationwide alleging fraud, warranty, and unjust enrichment declared from their purchase of an allegedly defective product. The Circuit Court's Order and its data educate of law need not be addressed at the class certification stage. Alternatively, the Circuit Court's decision suggests that, even if it were to address choice of law and determine that the lass effective relations apply to class members' claims, such legal variations do not preclude these certification.

3. The Circuit Court's class certification order will have far-reaching effects on

the general classivide findings reached in this case to stand will threaten the ability of Arkansas leavinesses to fairly defend themselves against potentially bankrupting lawsuits. It will also leavene substituted costs on companies that do business in Arkansas – both in terms of increased litigation expenses and by imposing a competitive disadvantage vis-à-vis companies not subjected to the same litigation burdens. As previously noted, the Chamber is uniquely suited to essier this Court in its disposition of this case because the organization has a wealth of experience in class action litigation and the often untoward effects of mass tort litigation on business entiries.

- For the foregoing reasons, the Chamber respectfully submits that it is well-modellist to assist the Court in evaluating the arguments raised by the parties in this case and adding available on of purportedly common issues for classwide resolution in mass tort
- The proposed brief of the Chamber is tendered and submitted this date.

 WHEREFORE, the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief of the United States of America

 The proposed brief

Respectfully submitted:

Watts, Donovan & Tilley, P.A. Arkansas Capital Commerce Center 200 South Commerce Street, Suite 200 Little Rock, AR 72201-1769 (501) 372-1406

David M. Donovan (81184)

Attorney for Amicus Curiae the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America

JUCCOSH

Robin S. Contad NATIONAL CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER, INC. 1848 W. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20062 (1921) 4CS 5337

Toda & Beisner

Ansieu Dandson Miller

Shances 14. Curus

A Della MENSTE MYERS, LLP

1020 Spe Steet N.W.

And Septon, C.C. 20006

1211 180 Julien

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2007, a copy of the foregoing was served by U.S. mail on:

Foundation Vincent Doan Estimate Boar, LLP Fox 6227
Toucologia, TX 75505-6227

Manage C. Wyly
Manage Meltom, Roberts,
Manage & Greer
MC. Robert 6128
The manage A, TX 75505-6128

Lesson Services 1255 255 26k, AR 72203-3255

Jim Hudson mty Circuit Judge St., Rm. 202 AR 71854

David M. Donovan