United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit United States Court of Appeals

No. 23-30294

Fifth Circuit

October 31, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

PLAQUEMINES PARISH,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

LOUISIANA STATE; LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, Office of Coastal Management, Thomas F. Harris, Secretary,

Intervenors—Appellees,

versus

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY, As Successor in Interest to AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY; BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS COMPANY, L.P.; CHEVRON USA, INCORPORATED, As Successor in Interest to CHEVRON OIL COMPANY, THE CALIFORNIA COMPANY and GULF OIL CORPORATION; EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, AS Successor in Interest to THE SUPERIOR OIL COMPANY; SHELL OFFSHORE, INCORPORATED; SHELL OIL COMPANY; CHEVRON U.S.A. HOLDINGS, INCORPORATED, AS Successor in Interest to TEXACO E&P INCORPORATED. and TEXACO INCORPORATED; TEXAS COMPANY; CHEVRON PIPE LINE COMPANY, AS Successor in Interest to GULF REFINING COMPANY,

Defendants—Appellants,

CONSOLIDATED WITH

No. 23-30422

No. 23-30294 c/w No. 23-30422

PARISH OF CAMERON,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

STATE OF LOUISIANA, ex rel, on behalf of JEFF LANDRY; STATE OF LOUISIANA, on behalf of Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, on behalf of Office of Coastal Management, on behalf of Thomas F. Harris,

Intervenor Plaintiffs—Appellees,

versus

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY; CHEVRON U.S.A. INCORPORATED, OWN CAPACITY & as successor in interest, on behalf of CALIFORNIA COMPANY; SHELL OIL COMPANY; SWEPI, L.P.,

Defendants—Appellants.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana USDC No. 2:18-CV-5256

ON PETITIONS FOR REHEARING AND REHEARING EN BANC

Before DAVIS, ENGELHARDT, and OLDHAM, *Circuit Judges*.* PER CURIAM:

^{*} Judges Jerry E. Smith, Catharina Haynes, James C. Ho, and Dana M. Douglas, did not participate in the consideration of the rehearing en banc.

No. 23-30294 c/w No. 23-30422

The petition for panel rehearing is DENIED. The petition for rehearing en banc is DENIED because, at the request of one of its members, the court was polled, and a majority did not vote in favor of rehearing (FED. R. APP. P. 35 and 5TH CIR. R. 35).

In the en banc poll, six judges voted in favor of rehearing (Judges Jones, Richman, Willett, Duncan, Oldham, and Wilson), and seven judges voted against rehearing (Chief Judge Elrod and Judges Stewart, Southwick, Graves, Higginson, Engelhardt, and Ramirez).