## Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA Document58 Filed07/06/10 Page1 of 2

1 2 3 4 5 6	IGNACIA S. MORENO Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division  ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL (Cal. Bar No. 244992) Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice Environmental Defense Section 301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105	
7 8 9	Tel: (415) 744-6566; Fax: (415) 744-6476 Email: rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Defendant Lisa P. Jackson, Adminis	trator of EPA
10		
11		
12	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRIC OAKLAND	T OF CALIFORNIA
14	OAKLAND	DIVISION
15	SIERRA CLUB,	Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA
16 17	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF LODGING OF PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE
18	LISA P. JACKSON, Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency, in her	) ) )
19	official capacity,	) )
20	Defendant.	
21		
22		
23   24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1

NOTICE OF LODGING OF PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE

Dated: July 6, 2010

1

Defendant Lisa P. Jackson, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), hereby lodges with the Court a proposed consent decree to be noticed for public comment that contains the terms of a proposed settlement of this action. See Attachment 1, Consent Decree.

The proposed consent decree should not be signed or entered by the Court at this time. Pursuant to section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(g), the EPA Administrator must provide "a reasonable opportunity by notice in the Federal Register to persons who are not named as parties or intervenors to the action or matter to comment in writing" upon the proposed consent decree. Accordingly, EPA will publish in the Federal Register a notice of the proposed consent decree and request public comments. After a reasonable comment period, the EPA Administrator will promptly consider any written comments received and, if none of the comments disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the proposed consent decree is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, EPA will move for entry of the decree.

Respectfully submitted,

IGNACIA S. MORENO Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division

/s/ Rochelle L. Russell

ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice Environmental Defense Section

301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105

Tel: (415) 744-6566

Email: rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Defendant

1	IGNACIA S. MORENO
	Assistant Attorney General
2	Environment & Natural Resources Division
3	ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL (Cal. Bar No. 244992)
	Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice
4	Environmental Defense Section
5	301 Howard Street, Suite 1050
	San Francisco, CA 94105
6	Tel: (415) 744-6566; Fax: (415) 744-6476
7	Email: rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov
7	Attorneys for Defendant Lisa P. Jackson, Administrator of EPA
8	EMMA C CHEUCE (A D. H. W. D.C D. N. 400201)
	EMMA C. CHEUSE (Appearing <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> , D.C. Bar No. 488201)
9	JAMES S. PEW (Appearing <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> , D.C. Bar No. 448830) Earthjustice
10	1625 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Suite 702
	Washington, D.C. 20036
11	Tel: (202) 667-4500; Fax: (202) 667-2356
12	Email: echeuse@earthjustice.org
12	Email: jpew@earthjustice.org
13	
14	SARAH H. BURT (Cal. Bar No. 250378)
14	Earthjustice
15	426 17th Street, 6th Floor
.	Oakland, CA 94612
16	Tel: (510) 550-6700
17	Email: sburt@earthjustice.org
	Attorneys for Plaintiff Sierra Club
18	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20	OAKLAND DIVISION
21	
41	
22	SIERRA CLUB, ) Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA
,,	Plaintiff ) CONSENT DECREE
23	i winigi,
24	v. (
ر   ا	LISA P. JACKSON, Administrator, United
25	States Environmental Protection Agency, in her )
26	official capacity,
	Defendant.
27	<u> </u>
, ,	

<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Lisa P. Jackson has been substituted for Stephen L. Johnson as Defendant in this matter pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d).

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2009, Plaintiff Sierra Club filed the above-captioned matter against Lisa P. Jackson, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (hereinafter "EPA" or "Defendant"); <sup>1/</sup>

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that EPA failed to perform its obligations under Clean Air Act ("CAA") section 112(d)(6), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(d)(6), to "review, and revise as necessary (taking into account developments in practices, processes, and control technologies)" the section 112(d) emission standards identified in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint within 8 years of the promulgation of such standards, *see* Compl. ¶¶ 1, 26 (Dkt. No. 1);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that for each source category identified in the Complaint, EPA failed to perform its obligations under CAA section 112(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(f)(2), within 8 years of the promulgation of the section 112(d) emission standards identified in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, to "promulgate standards [under section 112(f)(2)] for such category or subcategory if promulgation of such standards is required in order to provide an ample margin of safety to protect public health . . . or to prevent, taking into consideration costs, energy, safety, and other relevant factors, an adverse environmental effect," *see* Compl. ¶¶ 1, 27;

WHEREAS, the Complaint identifies 28 source categories for which EPA has allegedly failed to complete its CAA section 112(d)(6) and (f)(2) obligations, *see* Compl. ¶¶ 1, 13;

WHEREAS, the emission standards for these 28 source categories are set forth in 27 different National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants ("NESHAP"), which are listed in the Complaint by the relevant Federal Register notice, and include the following, *see* Compl. ¶¶ 1, 13:

- (1) Marine Tank Vessel Loading Operations, 60 Fed. Reg. 48,388 (Sept. 19, 1995) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. Y), Compl. ¶ 13(6);
- (2) Pharmaceuticals Production, 63 Fed. Reg. 50,280 (Sept. 21, 1998) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. GGG), Compl. ¶ 13(10);

1	(17) Secondary Aluminum Production, 65 Fed. Reg. 15,690 (Mar. 23, 2000) (40 C.F.R.
2	pt. 63 subpt. RRR), Compl. ¶ 13(21);
3	(18) Pesticide Active Ingredient Production, 64 Fed. Reg. 33,550 (June 23, 1999) (40
4	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. MMM), Compl. ¶ 13(9);
5	(19) Polyether Polyols Production, 64 Fed. Reg. 29,420 (June 1, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63
6	subpt. PPP), Compl. ¶ 13(12);
7	(20) Group IV Polymers and Resins, 61 Fed. Reg. 48,208 (Sept. 12, 1996) (40 C.F.R. pt
8	63 subpt. JJJ), Compl. ¶ 13(15);
9	(21) Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production, 63 Fed. Reg. 53,980 (Oct. 7, 1998) (40
10	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. III), Compl. ¶ 13(4);
11	(22) Generic MACT- Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers Production, 64 Fed. Reg. 34,854
12	(June 29, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. YY), Compl. ¶ 13(5);
13	(23) Generic MACT- Polycarbonate Production, 64 Fed. Reg. 34,854 (June 29, 1999) (4
14	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. YY), Compl. ¶ 13(5);
15	(24) Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations, 61 Fed. Reg. 34,140 (July 1, 1996) (40
16	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. DD), Compl. ¶ 13(8);
17	(25) Phosphoric Acid Manufacturing, 64 Fed. Reg. 31,358 (June 10, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt
18	63 subpt. AA), Compl. ¶ 13(11);
19	(26) Phosphate Fertilizers Production Plants, 64 Fed. Reg. 31,358 (June 10, 1999) (40
20	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. BB), Compl. ¶ 13(11);
21	(27) Group III Polymers and Resins–Manufacture of Amino/Phenolic Resins, 65 Fed.
22	Reg. 3,276 (Jan. 20, 2000) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. OOO), Compl. ¶ 13(14);
23	(28) Portland Cement Manufacturing Industry, 64 Fed. Reg. 31,898 (June 14, 1999) (40
24	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. LLL), Compl. ¶ 13(16). 2/
25	
26	<sup>2</sup> / The emission standards for the source categories identified in items 22-23 and 25-26 were
27	addressed in the same Federal Register notices, 64 Fed. Reg. 34,854 (June 29, 1999) and 64 Fed Reg. 31,358 (June 10, 1999), respectively, and thus were addressed in the same paragraphs of the
28	

WHEREAS, the relief requested in the Complaint includes, among other things, an order from this Court to establish a date certain by which EPA must fulfill each of its listed obligations for each of the source categories listed herein;

WHEREAS, EPA has not taken final action pursuant to CAA sections 112(d)(6) or 112(f)(2) with respect to the source categories identified in the Complaint, *see* EPA Answer ¶ 13 (Dkt. No. 43);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA have agreed to a settlement of this action without admission of any issue of fact or law, except as expressly provided herein;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA, by entering into this Consent Decree, do not waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final EPA action;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA consider this Consent Decree to be an adequate and equitable resolution of all the claims in this matter and therefore wish to effectuate a settlement;

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the public, Plaintiff Sierra Club, Defendant EPA, and judicial economy to resolve this matter without protracted litigation;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA agree that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the citizen suit provision in CAA section 304(a)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2), and that venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) and N.D. Cal. Civ. Local Rule 3-2(c)-(d);

WHEREAS, the Court, by entering this Consent Decree, finds that the Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, in the public interest, and consistent with the Clean Air Act;

NOW THEREFORE, before the taking of testimony, without trial or determination of any issues of fact or law, and upon the consent of Plaintiff Sierra Club and Defendant EPA, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that:

- 1. For the Marine Tank Vessel Loading Operations source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
  - (a) no later than September 14, 2010,
    - (i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart Y ("NESHAP subpart Y") under CAA section 112(d)(6), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(d)(6), or

1	sign a proposed determination that revision of NESHAP subpart Y is not
2	necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
3	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
4	under CAA section 112(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(f)(2), or sign a proposed
5	determination that promulgation of such standards is not required under CAA
6	section 112(f)(2); and
7	(b) no later than March 31, 2011,
8	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart Y under CAA
9	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
10	Y is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
11	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
12	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
13	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
14	2. For the Pharmaceuticals Production source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
15	(a) no later than September 14, 2010,
16	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart GGG
17	("NESHAP subpart GGG") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
18	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart GGG is not necessary under
19	CAA section 112(d)(6); and
20	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
21	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
22	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
23	(b) no later than March 31, 2011,
24	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart GGG under CAA
25	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
26	GGG is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
27	
28	

1	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
2	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
3	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
4	(b) no later than June 30, 2011,
5	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart N under CAA
6	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
7	N is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
8	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
9	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
10	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
11	5. For the Steel Pickling–HCl Process Facilities and Hydrochloric Acid Regeneration
12	Plants source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
13	(a) no later than September 14, 2010,
14	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart CCC
15	("NESHAP subpart CCC") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
16	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart CCC is not necessary under CA
17	section 112(d)(6); and
18	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
19	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
20	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
21	(b) no later than June 30, 2011,
22	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart CCC under CAA
23	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
24	CCC is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
25	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
26	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
27	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
28	6. For the Group I Polymers and Resins source category, the EPA Administrator shall:

1	(a) no later than September 14, 2010,
2	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart U
3	("NESHAP subpart U") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
4	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart U is not necessary under CAA
5	section 112(d)(6); and
6	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
7	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
8	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
9	(b) no later than June 30, 2011,
10	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart U under CAA
11	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
12	U is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
13	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
14	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
15	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
16	7. For the Shipbuilding and Ship Repair (Surface Coating) Operations source category,
17	the EPA Administrator shall:
18	(a) no later than October 29, 2010,
19	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart II
20	("NESHAP subpart II") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
21	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart II is not necessary under CAA
22	section 112(d)(6); and
23	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
24	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
25	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
26	(b) no later than October 31, 2011,
27	
28	
	1

1	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart II under CAA
2	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
3	II is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
4	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
5	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
6	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
7	8. For the Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations source category, the EPA
8	Administrator shall:
9	(a) no later than October 29, 2010,
10	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart JJ
11	("NESHAP subpart JJ") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
12	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart JJ is not necessary under CAA
13	section 112(d)(6); and
14	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
15	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
16	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
17	(b) no later than October 31, 2011,
18	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart JJ under CAA
19	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
20	JJ is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
21	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
22	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
23	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
24	9. For the Primary Lead Smelting source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
25	(a) no later than January 31, 2011,
26	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart TTT
27	("NESHAP subpart TTT") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
28	

1	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart TTT is not necessary under CAA
2	section 112(d)(6); and
3	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
4	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
5	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
6	(b) no later than October 31, 2011,
7	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart TTT under CAA
8	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
9	TTT is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
10	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
11	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
12	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
13	10. For the Secondary Lead Smelting source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
14	(a) no later than April 29, 2011,
15	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart X
16	("NESHAP subpart X") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
17	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart X is not necessary under CAA
18	section 112(d)(6); and
19	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
20	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
21	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
22	(b) no later than December 16, 2011,
23	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart X under CAA
24	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
25	X is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
26	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
27	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
28	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).

1	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart GG under CAA
2	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
3	GG is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
4	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
5	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
6	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
7	13. For the Mineral Wool Production source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
8	(a) no later than October 31, 2011,
9	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart DDD
10	("NESHAP subpart DDD") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
11	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart DDD is not necessary under
12	CAA section 112(d)(6); and
13	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
14	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
15	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
16	(b) no later than June 29, 2012,
17	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart DDD under CAA
18	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
19	DDD is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
20	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
21	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
22	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
23	14. For the Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants source category, the EPA Administrate
24	shall:
25	(a) no later than October 31, 2011,
26	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to the 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart LL
27	("NESHAP subpart LL") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
28	

1	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart LL is not necessary under CAA
2	section 112(d)(6); and
3	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
4	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
5	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
6	(b) no later than June 29, 2012,
7	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart LL under CAA
8	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
9	LL is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
10	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
11	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
12	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
13	15. For the Ferroalloys Production: Ferromanganese and Silicomanganese source
14	category, the EPA Administrator shall:
15	(a) no later than October 31, 2011,
16	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart XXX
17	("NESHAP subpart XXX") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
18	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart XXX is not necessary under
19	CAA section 112(d)(6); and
20	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
21	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
22	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
23	(b) no later than June 29, 2012,
24	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to the NESHAP subpart XXX under
25	CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP
26	subpart XXX is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
27	
28	

1	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
2	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
3	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
4	(b) no later than August 31, 2012,
5	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart RRR under CAA
6	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
7	RRR is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
8	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
9	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
10	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
11	18. For the Pesticide Active Ingredient Production source category, the EPA
12	Administrator shall:
13	(a) no later than November 30, 2011,
14	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart MMM
15	("NESHAP subpart MMM") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
16	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart MMM is not necessary under
17	CAA section 112(d)(6); and
18	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor
19	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
20	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
21	(b) no later than November 30, 2012,
22	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart MMM under
23	CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP
24	subpart MMM is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
25	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
26	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
27	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
28	

1	19. For the Polyether Polyols Production source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
2	(a) no later than November 30, 2011,
3	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart PPP
4	("NESHAP subpart PPP") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
5	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart PPP is not necessary under CAA
6	section 112(d)(6); and
7	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
8	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
9	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
10	(b) no later than November 30, 2012,
11	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart PPP under CAA
12	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
13	PPP is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
14	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
15	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
16	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
17	20. For the Group IV Polymers and Resins source category, the EPA Administrator
18	shall:
19	(a) no later than November 30, 2011,
20	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart JJJ
21	("NESHAP subpart JJJ") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
22	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart JJJ is not necessary under CAA
23	section 112(d)(6); and
24	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
25	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
26	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
27	(b) no later than November 30, 2012,
28	

1	section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed determination that revision to the standards		
2	for this source category in NESHAP subpart YY is not necessary under CAA		
3	section 112(d)(6); and		
4	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category		
5	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation		
6	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and		
7	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,		
8	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to the standards for this source		
9	category in NESHAP subpart YY under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a final		
10	determination that revision of the standards for this source category in NESHAP		
11	subpart YY is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and		
12	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category		
13	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of		
14	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).		
15	23. For the Polycarbonate Production source category, the EPA Administrator shall:		
16	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,		
17	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to the standards for this source		
18	category in 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart YY ("NESHAP subpart YY") under CAA		
19	section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed determination that revision of the standards		
20	for this source category in NESHAP subpart YY is not necessary under CAA		
21	section 112(d)(6); and		
22	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category		
23	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation		
24	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and		
25	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,		
26	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to the standards for this source		
27	category in NESHAP subpart YY under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a final		
28			

1	determination that revision of the standards in NESHAP subpart YY for this				
2	source category is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and				
3	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category				
4	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of				
5	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).				
6	24. For the Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations source category, the EPA				
7	Administrator shall:				
8	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,				
9	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart DD				
10	("NESHAP subpart DD") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed				
11	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart DD is not necessary under CAA				
12	section 112(d)(6); and				
13	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category				
14	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation				
15	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and				
16	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,				
17	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart DD under CAA				
18	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart				
19	DD is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and				
20	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category				
21	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of				
22	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).				
23	25. For the Phosphoric Acid Manufacturing source category, the EPA Administrator				
24	shall:				
25	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,				
26	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart AA				
27	("NESHAP subpart AA") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed				
28					

1	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart AA is not necessary under CAA			
2	section 112(d)(6); and			
3	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor			
4	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
5	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
6	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,			
7	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart AA under CAA			
8	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
9	AA is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
10	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
11	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
12	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
13	26. For the Phosphate Fertilizers Production Plants source category, the EPA			
14	Administrator shall:			
15	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,			
16	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart BB			
17	("NESHAP subpart BB") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed			
18	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart BB is not necessary under CAA			
19	section 112(d)(6); and			
20	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source categor			
21	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
22	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
23	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,			
24	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart BB under CAA			
25	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
26	BB is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
27				
28				

- (ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
- (b) no later than June 15, 2018,
  - (i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart LLL under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart LLL is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
  - (ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
- 29. Within fifteen (15) business days of signing a proposed or final rule or proposed or final determination as described in Paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Consent Decree, EPA shall deliver it to the Office of the Federal Register for prompt publication. In addition, EPA shall provide notice and make available to Plaintiff a copy of each such rule or determination within five (5) business days of delivery to the Office of the Federal Register.
- 30. Once EPA has completed all of the actions set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Consent Decree, EPA may move to have this Decree terminated. Plaintiff shall have fourteen (14) days in which to respond to such motion.
- 31. The deadlines established in Paragraphs 1 through 28 may be extended (a) by written stipulation of Plaintiff and EPA with notice to the Court, or (b) by the Court upon motion of EPA for good cause shown and upon consideration of any response by Plaintiff. Any other provision of this Consent Decree may be modified by the Court following motion of either Plaintiff or EPA for good cause shown and upon consideration of any response by the non-moving party.
- 32. In the event of a dispute between Plaintiff and EPA concerning the interpretation or implementation of any aspect of this Consent Decree, the disputing party shall provide the other party with a written notice outlining the nature of the dispute and requesting informal negotiations. These parties shall meet and confer in order to attempt to resolve the dispute. If

9

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

notice, either party may petition the Court to resolve the dispute. 33. No motion or other proceeding seeking to enforce this Consent Decree or for contempt of Court shall be filed unless the procedure set forth in Paragraph 32 has been followed.

these parties are unable to resolve the dispute within ten (10) business days after receipt of the

- 34. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree and to consider any requests for costs of litigation, including attorney fees.
- 35. Nothing in the terms of this Consent Decree shall be construed (a) to confer upon this Court jurisdiction to review any final rule or determination issued by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, (b) to confer upon this Court jurisdiction to review any issues that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States Courts of Appeals under CAA section 307(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1), or (c) to waive any claims, remedies, or defenses that the parties may have under CAA section 307(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1).
- 36. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or modify any discretion accorded EPA by the Clean Air Act or by general principles of administrative law in taking the actions which are the subject of this Consent Decree, including the discretion to alter, amend, or revise any final actions promulgated pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's obligation to perform each action specified in this Consent Decree does not constitute a limitation or modification of EPA's discretion within the meaning of this paragraph.
- 37. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as an admission of any issue of fact or law nor to waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final action EPA takes with respect to the actions addressed in this Consent Decree.
- 38. EPA and Plaintiff agree that, pursuant to CAA section 304(d), 42 U.S.C. § 7604(d), Plaintiff is both eligible and entitled to recover its costs of litigation in this action, including reasonable attorney fees, incurred prior to entry of this Consent Decree. The deadline for filing a bill of costs pursuant to Local Rule 54-1 and a motion for costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to Local Rule 54-6 for activities performed in this case prior to

entry of this Consent Decree, is hereby extended until 90 days after the date on which the Court enters this Consent Decree. During this time the parties shall seek to resolve informally any claim for costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees.

- 39. Plaintiff reserves the right to seek additional costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees, incurred subsequent to entry of this Consent Decree and arising from Plaintiff's need to enforce or defend against efforts to modify its terms or the underlying schedule outlined herein, or for any other unforeseen continuation of this action. EPA reserves the right to oppose any such request for additional costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees.
- 40. It is hereby expressly understood and agreed that this Consent Decree was jointly drafted by Plaintiff and EPA. Accordingly, the parties hereby agree that any and all rules of construction to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of this Consent Decree.
- 41. The parties agree and acknowledge that before this Consent Decree is entered by the Court, EPA must provide notice of this Consent Decree in the Federal Register and an opportunity for public comment pursuant to CAA section 113(g), 42 U.S.C. § 7413(g). After this Consent Decree has undergone notice and comment, the Administrator and/or the Attorney General, as appropriate, shall promptly consider any such written comments in determining whether to withdraw or withhold their consent to the Consent Decree, in accordance with CAA section 113(g). If the Administrator and/or the Attorney General do not elect to withdraw or withhold consent, EPA shall promptly file a motion that requests that the Court enter this Consent Decree.
- 42. Any notices required or provided for by this Consent Decree shall be in writing, via electronic mail or other means, and sent to the following (or to any new address of counsel as filed and listed in the docket of the above-captioned matter, at a future date):

For Plaintiff Sierra Club: Emma C. Cheuse

James S. Pew Earthjustice

1625 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Suite 702

Washington, D.C. 20036

## Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA Document58-1 Filed07/06/10 Page26 of 27

1 2			667-4500 euse@earthjustice.org w@earthjustice.org		
3		Sarah H. B	Burt		
4		Earthjustic	treet, 6th Floor		
5		Oakland, C	CA 94612		
6		Tel: (510) Email: sbu	550-6700 rt@earthjustice.org		
7			3		
8	For Defendant EPA:	Rochelle L	Russell rtment of Justice		
9		Environme	ent & Natural Resources Division		
10			rd Street, Suite 1050 sco, CA 94105		
11		Tel: (415)	744-6566		
12		Email: rocl	helle.russell@usdoj.gov		
13	43. EPA and Plaintiff recognize and acknowledge that the obligations imposed upon				
14	EPA under this Consent Decree can only be undertaken using appropriated funds legally				
15	available for such purpose. No provision of this Consent Decree shall be interpreted as or				
16	constitute a commitment or requirement that the United States obligate or pay funds in				
17	contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other applicable provision of			le provision of	
18	law.				
19	44. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form				
20	presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of either party and the terms of the			erms of the	
21	proposed Consent Decree may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the parties.				
22	45. The undersigned representatives of Plaintiff Sierra Club and Defendant EPA certify				
23	that they are fully authorized by the party they represent to consent to the Court's entry of the				
24	terms and conditions of this Decree.				
25	CO ODDEDED on this	dan af	2010		
26	SO ORDERED on this	_ uay of	, 2010.		
27					
28		SAUNDRA BROY United States Dist	WN ARMSTRONG		
		Since States Dist	in the same		

## Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA Document58-1 Filed07/06/10 Page27 of 27

1	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:	
2 3	Date: July 6, 2010	/s/ Emma C. Cheuse EMMA C. CHEUSE
4		(Appearing <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> , D.C. Bar No. 488201) JAMES S. PEW
5		(Appearing <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> , D.C. Bar No. 448830) Earthjustice
6		1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 702 Washington, D.C. 20036
7		Tel: (202) 667-4500 Email: echeuse@earthjustice.org
8		Email: jpew@earthjustice.org
9 10		SARAH H. BURT (Cal. Bar No. 250378) Earthjustice 426 17th Street, 6th Floor
11		Oakland, CA 94612
12		Tel: (510) 550-6700 Email: sburt@earthjustice.org
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff Sierra Club
14		
15	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:	
16		IGNACIA S. MORENO
17		Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division
18	Date: July 6, 2010	/s/ Rochelle L. Russell
19	Date. July 0, 2010	ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL
20		Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice Environmental Defense Section
21		301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105
22		Tel: (415) 744-6566
23		Email: rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov
24		Attorneys for Defendant EPA
25		
26		
27		
28		